

AGENCY COMMENT SHEET

TO: Gerri Shoffstall, Planning and Growth Management Dept.
 Reviewer's

FROM: Signature: Glen Shopmyer, Senior Planner Date: 10/23/2008

Agency: PGM Transportation

Petition Number RZ 08-1338 EL

This agency objects, based on the listed or attached issues

Transportation Staff is unable to complete its review of this application due to the lack of the following information:

NOTE: Site Plan revisions and traffic data should be received twenty (20) days prior to the Zoning Hearing Master scheduled date.

	Points of ingress and egress for principal pedestrian, private vehicles, commercial vehicles, mass transit and waterway traffic, and the general roadway patterns within the PD district indicating the hierarchy, if applicable, of project roadways.
	Cross access between phases or project areas and between project site and adjacent properties to the project.
	General location of parking and service areas.
	The amount of existing rights-of-way on all adjacent streets, and/or the distance to the centerline of the existing roads adjacent to the property.
	The amount of rights-of-way to be dedicated by the project, if proposed.
	All public rights-of-way, identified by name and classification according to the Functional Classification Map, adjoining, traversing, or within one hundred fifty (150) feet of the proposed district.
X	The locations of all driveways or curb cuts which access onto any street adjacent to the project site, and all other streets or driveways which intersect adjacent streets within one hundred fifty (150) feet of the site.

	Right-of-way width, number of lanes in the right-of-way, and location of all median openings (if any) on all roadways adjoining, traversing, or within one hundred fifty (150) feet of the proposed district.
	<u>Detailed Transportation Analysis</u> – shall be provided if the number of daily trips projected to travel adjacent streets is greater than one (1) percent of the Level of Service “C” capacity of said streets. The detailed traffic analysis shall be prepared using generally accepted traffic analysis standards and guidelines including but not be limited to the following:
X	Level of <u>service</u> calculations at each project access point for both the a.m. and p.m. peak hour.
X	<u>Level</u> of service calculations at nearby intersections for both the a.m. and p.m. peak hour.
X	Level of service calculations at major intersections impacted by the project for both the a.m. and p.m. peak hour.
	A determination of need for auxiliary lanes.
	A determination of need of traffic signals or the traffic control devices.
	Identification of the total trips generated by the project and the distribution of the trips onto adjacent streets. Trip generation rates from the Institute of Traffic Engineers (ITE) or another approved source shall be used as the basis for trip generation calculations.
X	Other transportation factors as may be appropriate as determined by Hillsborough County. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Use of 2006 data is not acceptable.</u> • <u>Analysis is for 2006 and not for buildout. Projected build-out estimates should be, at a minimum, two from the submittal date (2010)</u> • <u>Use of Low rise apartments LUC 230) is not acceptable. ITE states Low Rise are two story, however, the submitted site plan notes three stories. The analysis should use LUC 220.</u> • <u>Should include trip generation for second option. (use of campground/RV park category (LUC 416) with camp sites) should be used.</u> • <u>Given the residential nature of the development, an AM analysis is also required.</u>